

# 4. Relativistic quantum mechanics

81  
(Griffiths  
4.1-7.4)

## 4.1 Schrödinger eqn

- Non-relativistic!

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}) \quad \text{Hamilton operator}$$

$$\boxed{i\partial_t |\psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle}$$

- $[\hat{x}_k, \hat{p}_e] = i\delta_{ke}$

- Eigenstates  $\hat{H}|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow |n(t)\rangle = e^{-iE_n t} |n(0)\rangle$$

- Wave function

$$\psi(x, t) = \langle x | \psi(t) \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V(x) \right] \psi(x, t) = i\partial_t \psi(x, t)$$

- Harmonic oscillator

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \hat{x}^2$$

•  $\hat{H}$  can be written in terms of creation - and annihilation operators

- $\hat{a}$  annihilation

- $\hat{a}^\dagger$  creation

- $\hat{H} = \omega(\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2})$

$$\hat{N} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \quad \text{number operator}$$

- $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$  ;

$$\hat{a}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$$

## 4.2. Klein-Gordon eqn. (spin = 0)

- As we shall see, there is no "Schrödinger equation" for relativistic particles which would give us wave function + evolution in time.  
Need to use quantum field theory instead.  
However, for free particles we can write "classic" quantum mechanical wave equations.

- Remember:  $p_i \rightarrow -i\partial_i$ ;  $E \rightarrow i\partial_t$   
for Schrödinger wave equation, i.e.

$$E = \frac{p^2}{2m} (+V) \rightarrow -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \psi = i\partial_t \psi$$

- Can this be done in relativistic case?

$$p_\mu p^\mu - m^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - m^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{[-\partial_t^2 + \vec{\nabla}^2 - m^2] \psi = 0}$$

Klein-Gordon  
eqn.

- Describes free spin-0 particles (e.g. pions  $\pi$ )

Historically K-G eqn. was thought to be incorrect, because  $\rho = |\psi|^2$  ("probability density") is not conserved ( $\partial_t \rho \neq \nabla \cdot \vec{j}$ ), whereas

$$\rho' = i(\psi^* \partial_t \psi - \psi \partial_t \psi^*)$$

is conserved but not positive definite!

This is due to the 2nd time derivative.

This motivated Dirac to search for 1st order equation. (Actually, Schrödinger first considered K-G equation for QM before settling to the non-relativistic eqn.)

Presently these reservations are irrelevant:  $\rho^1$  is interpreted as charge density, or particles-antiparticles.

### 4.3. Dirac equation (spin-1/2)

- How to get 1st order in  $\partial_t$ ? Factorize  $p_\mu p^\mu - m^2 \geq 0$ . If  $\vec{p} = 0$ , this is easy:

$$(p^0)^2 - m^2 = (p^0 - m)(p^0 + m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p^0 - m = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad p^0 + m = 0 \quad \text{OK.}$$

How about then

$$p_\mu p^\mu - m = (\beta^\mu p_\mu - m)(\gamma^\nu p_\nu + m) = 0$$

for some coefficients  $\beta^\mu, \gamma^\nu \geq$  Now

$$\begin{cases} \beta^\mu \gamma^\nu p_\mu p_\nu = g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu & (*) \\ m p_\mu (\beta^\mu - \gamma^\mu) = 0 & \Rightarrow \beta^\mu = \gamma^\mu \end{cases}$$

Because (\*) must be valid for all  $p_N$ , we must have

$$(\gamma^0)^2 = 1 \quad ; \quad (\gamma^i)^2 = -1 \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} p = (p^0, 0, 0, 0) \\ p = (0, p_1, 0, 0) \\ \dots \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_N (\gamma^N)^2 p_N^2 + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu p_\mu p_\nu = \sum_N g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu) = 0, \quad \mu \neq \nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu) \equiv \boxed{\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2 g_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Dirac's brilliant idea (now practically obvious):  
use matrices

• Because  $\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu = -\gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu$  ( $\mu \neq \nu$ )  $\Rightarrow \text{Det } \gamma_\mu \text{ Det } \gamma_\nu = (-1)^D \text{Det } \gamma_\nu \text{ Det } \gamma_\mu$ ,  
thus, dimension  $D$  of matrices must be even.

• At  $D=2$  we don't find 4 matrices  
(recall  $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = 2 \delta_{ij}$  - 4th?)

• At  $D=4$ , we find

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This choice is standard-representation. Here

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note:  $\gamma_i = -\gamma^i$ ;  $\gamma_0 = \gamma^0$

- Now we can take  $\gamma^\mu p_\mu - m = 0$  (+ m is equivalent!). Using  $\underline{p_\mu \rightarrow i \partial_\mu}$  [ $p^0 \rightarrow i \partial_0$ ;  $p^i \rightarrow -i \partial_i$ ]

we obtain Dirac equation (1927)

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$$

$$m \equiv m \cdot \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4}$$

$\psi$  = Dirac spinor - 4-component vector

- One of the most important eqns. in physics!
- Spin  $-\frac{1}{2}$  particle has 2 degrees of freedom:  
 $\psi$ : 4 d.o.f.s: particles + antiparticles at the same time!
- Dirac first thought that anti-electron = proton.  
 However,  $m_{\text{proton}} \gg m_e$ , whereas eqn. indicates that  
 $m_{\text{anti}} = m_{\text{particle}}$ . Prediction for positron  $\rightarrow$  found in 1932

(Dirac himself did not think his eqn. was such of a big deal, because it was so easy to find...)

## 4.4. Spin-1 Maxwell equation

• Oldest relativistic field equation!

• 4-potential  $A^\mu = (V, \vec{A})$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \\ \vec{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \end{cases}$$

• Define field strength tensor

$$F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & -B_z & +B_y \\ E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Maxwell equation (free, no charges)

$$\boxed{\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0}$$

$$\text{(or } \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu = (\rho, \vec{j}) \text{)}$$

↑  
4-current

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial_\mu \partial^\nu A^\mu}_{\square} = 0$$

$$\square = \partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 \quad \text{d'Alembert operator}$$

• However,  $A^\mu$  is not physical (observable),  
 $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  (or equivalently  $F^{\mu\nu}$ ) are.

Too many degrees of freedom

• Clearly, if  $A^\mu$  is solution, so is

$$A^{\mu'} = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \phi, \text{ because } F^{\nu\mu'} = \partial^\nu A^{\mu'} - \partial^{\mu'} A^\nu = F^{\nu\mu}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Gauge transformation, unphysical d.o.f.

• Additional condition, e.g. Lorentz-gauge:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\nu A^\nu = 0 & \text{(Lorentz-gauge condition)} \\ \square A^\mu = 0 & \text{(Maxwell eqn.)} \end{cases}$$

- Not complete, because there still is gauge freedom  $A^{\mu'} = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \phi$ , with  $\square \phi = 0$ .

• Coulomb gauge:

$$\begin{cases} \square A^\mu = 0 \\ A^0 = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Now remnant gauge freedom  $\partial_0 \phi = \nabla^2 \phi = 0$  is static; i.e. does not change wave solutions.

## 4.5. Solution of Klein-Gordon eqn.

- In order to get to interactions, Feynman diagrams, etc. we need to discuss a bit field theory:  
Second Quantisation, We'll look at K-G:

$$(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2)\phi = (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2)\phi = 0$$

- Ansatz:  $\phi = N e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} = N e^{-ik^\nu x_\nu}$

$$\Rightarrow -k_\mu k^\mu + m^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{k^0 = \pm \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}}$$

- Thus, general solution  $\phi(\vec{x}, t) = \phi(k)$  is a sum  
(use  $E_{\vec{k}} = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$ )

$$\phi = \int d^3\vec{k} \left[ N_+(\vec{k}) e^{-iE_{\vec{k}}t + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + N_-(\vec{k}) e^{+iE_{\vec{k}}t + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right]$$

↖ switch  $\vec{k} \rightarrow -\vec{k}$  here

$$= \int d^3\vec{k} \left[ N_+(\vec{k}) e^{-iE_{\vec{k}}t + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + N_-(-\vec{k}) e^{+iE_{\vec{k}}t - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right]$$

Defining  $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{p}$ ,  $E_{\vec{k}} \rightarrow p^0 = E_{\vec{p}}$ ;  $p \cdot p = m^2$   
 $\Rightarrow E_{\vec{k}}t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{x} \rightarrow p \cdot x$

And writing

$$\begin{cases} N_+(\vec{k}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} a_{\vec{p}} \\ N_-(-\vec{k}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} b_{\vec{p}}^* \end{cases}$$



we obtain

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[ a_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + b_{\vec{p}}^* e^{ip \cdot x} \right] \quad (*)$$

where  $a_{\vec{p}}, b_{\vec{p}}^*$  are independent complex numbers  
if  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}$ ; if  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$   $b_{\vec{p}} = a_{\vec{p}}$ .

- Motivation for strange  $\sqrt{\quad}$  comes from quantisation
- Interpretation as particles? (next)

$$e^{-ip \cdot x} = e^{-iE_{\vec{p}}t + i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}$$

$$e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} = e^{iE_{\vec{p}}t + i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}$$

← particle with negative energy  $E = -E_{\vec{p}}$ ?

- Looks unphysical,  $E < 0 = E_{\text{vacuum}}$ ?

- Another interpretation, (Feynman, Stückelberg):

$$e^{+iE_{\vec{p}}t} = e^{-iE_{\vec{p}}(-t)}$$

= particle with  $E > 0$ , going backwards in time!

≡ Antiparticle going forward in  $t$ !

→ particle

← antiparticle

Note: (\*) is just arbitrary rewriting of original solution, page 88. It becomes relevant when quantising,

## 4.6 Second quantisation

- Recall: classical mechanics  $p = m\dot{x} = \dot{x}$  ( $m=1$ )  
 → quantum mechanics  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i$

- Let us do the same here:  $\begin{cases} x \rightarrow \phi(\bar{x}, t) \\ p \rightarrow \partial_t \phi^*(\bar{x}, t) \end{cases}$

i.e. different  $(\hat{x}, \hat{p})$  pair at every spacetime location!

→ quantum field theory

$$\underline{[\hat{\phi}(\bar{x}, t), \partial_0 \hat{\phi}^+(\bar{y}, t)] = i \delta^{(3)}(\bar{x} - \bar{y})}$$

This leads to (compare harmonic oscillator)

$$\begin{cases} [\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}, \hat{a}_{\bar{p}'}^+] = \delta^{(3)}(\bar{p} - \bar{p}') \\ [\hat{b}_{\bar{p}}, \hat{b}_{\bar{p}'}^+] = \delta^{(3)}(\bar{p} - \bar{p}') \end{cases}$$

and  $[\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}, \hat{a}_{\bar{p}'}^+] = [\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}, \hat{b}_{\bar{p}'}^+] = \dots = 0$

where

$$\hat{\phi} = \int \frac{d^3 \bar{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\bar{p}}}} [\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^- e^{-ip \cdot x} + \hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^+ e^{ip \cdot x}]$$

$$\hat{\phi}^+ = \int \frac{d^3 \bar{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\bar{p}}}} [\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^+ e^{ip \cdot x} + \hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^- e^{-ip \cdot x}]$$

(this explains the  $\sqrt{\dots}$ -normalisation factors)

Proof:

$$\partial_0 \hat{\phi}^+(y) = \int \frac{d^3_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\bar{q}}}} \cdot iE_{\bar{q}} \left[ \hat{a}_{\bar{q}}^+ e^{i\bar{q}\cdot y} - \hat{b}_{\bar{q}}^- e^{-i\bar{q}\cdot y} \right]$$

$$\text{and } [\hat{\phi}(x), \partial_0 \hat{\phi}^+(y)]_{y_0=x_0} = \int \frac{d^3_{\bar{p}} d^3_{\bar{q}}}{(2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{E_{\bar{p}} E_{\bar{q}}}} \cdot iE_{\bar{q}} \left[ \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^+ \hat{a}_{\bar{q}}^+ e^{i\bar{q}\cdot y - i\bar{p}\cdot x} \right. \\ \left. - [\hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^+, \hat{b}_{\bar{q}}^-] e^{i\bar{p}\cdot x - i\bar{q}\cdot y} \right] \\ \delta^{(3)}(\bar{p} - \bar{q}) \\ - \delta^{(3)}(\bar{p} - \bar{q})$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3_{\bar{p}}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{iE_{\bar{p}}}{2E_{\bar{p}}} \left\{ e^{-i\bar{p}\cdot(\bar{y}-\bar{x})} + e^{-i\bar{p}\cdot(\bar{x}-\bar{y})} \right\}$$

$$= i \int \frac{d^3_{\bar{p}}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\bar{p}\cdot(\bar{x}-\bar{y})} = i \delta^{(3)}(\bar{x}-\bar{y}). \quad \square$$

Thus, we go from classical field  $\phi$  (with coefficients  $a, b$ ) to field operator  $\hat{\phi}$  by "upgrading"  
 $a \rightarrow \hat{a}, b \rightarrow \hat{b}, a^* \rightarrow \hat{a}^+$  etc.

Physical interpretation:

$\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^-$  annihilation operator, destroys particle with momentum  $\bar{p}$ . Thus, it must exist as an incoming particle

$\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^+$  creation operator for particle, outgoing

$\hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^-$  annihilation op. for antiparticle (incoming)

$\hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^+$  creation op. for antiparticle (outgoing)

• It can be shown that Hamiltonian operator is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} &= \int d^3\bar{x} \left[ \partial_0 \hat{\phi}^{\dagger} \partial_0 \hat{\phi} + \nabla \hat{\phi}^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla \hat{\phi} + m \hat{\phi}^{\dagger} \hat{\phi} \right] \\ &= \int d^3\bar{p} E_{\bar{p}} \left[ \underbrace{\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}}_{N_{\bar{p}}^{\text{part.}}} + \underbrace{\hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\bar{p}}}_{N_{\bar{p}}^{\text{antipart.}}} + \delta^{(3)}(0) \right] \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ &\quad \text{unphysical "vacuum energy"}\end{aligned}$$

•  $Q$ , conserved "charge"

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q} &= \int d^3\bar{x} \left\{ \hat{\phi}^{\dagger} \partial_0 \hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi} \partial_0 \hat{\phi}^{\dagger} \right\} = \int d^3\bar{p} \left\{ \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}} - \hat{b}_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\bar{p}} \right\} \\ &= N^{\text{particle}} - N^{\text{antiparticle}} \sim \text{charge.}\end{aligned}$$

• No negative energies here!

## 4.7. Solution of Maxwell equation

93

- Consider Coulomb gauge:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu = 0 \\ A^0 = 0 \\ \partial_i A^i = 0 \end{cases} \quad A^\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Solution like K-G, except

$$-A \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \hat{b}_{\vec{p}} = \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}$$

- 4 components  $\mu=0..3$ , but not all physical.

Introduce polarisation vector  $\epsilon_{(\lambda)}^\mu$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{A}^\mu(\vec{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^\mu(\vec{p}) \left\{ \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{(\lambda)} e^{-ip \cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{(\lambda)} e^{ip \cdot x} \right\}$$

- Index  $\lambda$  shows polarisation state. Gauge:

$$A^0 = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\epsilon^0} = 0$$

$$\partial_i A^i = 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon^i \partial_i e^{-ip \cdot x} = \epsilon^i p_i e^{-ip \cdot x} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^i(\vec{p}) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}} = 0, \text{ i.e. polarisation } \underline{\text{perpendicular}} \text{ to } \vec{v}_{\text{photon}}.$$

= free photon is "transverse"

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon \text{ has 2 independent states, } \underline{\lambda=1,2}.$$

$\Rightarrow$  2 polarisation planes, or left- and right circular polarisation

## Completeness relation (Coulomb gauge)

$$\sum_{\lambda=1,2} \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^i(\vec{p}) \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^j(\vec{p}) = \delta^{ij} - \frac{p^i p^j}{\vec{p}^2}$$

(In different gauges looks different, but we shall use this)

• Example: if  $\vec{p} = p \hat{e}_z$ , we can choose

$$\epsilon_{(1)} = (0, 1, 0, 0) \quad ; \quad \epsilon_{(2)} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$$

linear polarisation; or  $\epsilon_{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\epsilon_{(1)} \pm i \epsilon_{(2)})$

circular polarisation

- No antiphoton (no  $\hat{b}$ ) because  $A_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ !
- $\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{(\lambda)}$  annihilates a photon of polarisation  $\lambda$   
 $\rightarrow$  must exist beforehand, incoming
- $\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{(\lambda)\dagger}$  creates a photon  $\rightarrow$  outgoing
- $\epsilon_{(\lambda)}^\mu(\vec{p})$ ,  $\lambda=1,2$  : polarisation

## 4.8 solution of Dirac equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0 \quad ; \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^4$$

• Ansatz:  $\psi = U(\vec{p}) e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}$  (plane wave)

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{(\gamma^\mu p_\mu - m) U(\vec{p}) = (\not{p} - m) U(\vec{p}) = 0}$$

where we use notation  $\not{A} = \gamma^\mu A_\mu$

• Using  $\gamma$ -matrices from page 84,  $[\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^i \\ -\delta^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}]$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} (p^0 - m)\mathbb{1} & -p^i \delta^i \\ p^i \delta^i & (-p^0 - m)\mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_A \\ U_B \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \left( p^i \delta^i = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^3 p^i \delta^i \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} U_A = \frac{1}{p^0 - m} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} U_B \\ U_B = \frac{1}{p^0 + m} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} U_A \end{cases} \Rightarrow U_A = \frac{1}{(p^0)^2 - m^2} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma})(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) U_A$$

Now  $p^i \delta^i p^j \delta^j = p^i p^j (\delta^{ij} \mathbb{1} + \underbrace{i \epsilon^{ijk}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{antisymm. } (i \neq j)}} \sigma^k) = p^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\vec{p}^2}{(p^0)^2 - m^2} = 1 \Rightarrow (p^0)^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2 \Rightarrow \underline{p^0 = \pm E_{\vec{p}}}$$

Solutions with positive and negative energy!

Again, these get particle - antiparticle (with  $E > 0$ ) interpretations

\* Positive energy solution is written as

$U(\vec{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x}$ , and obeys  $(\not{p} - m)U = 0$  (as above)

Because

$$(\not{p} - m)(\not{p} + m) = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu p_\mu p_\nu - m^2 = p^2 - m^2 = 0$$

we can imply that

$$U(\vec{p}) = (\not{p} + m) \cdot C \cdot U_0$$

spinor  $U_0$  is independent of  $\vec{p}$ , and  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ .

• When  $\vec{p} = 0$ .

$$U(\vec{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} (p^0 + m)\mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & (-p^0 + m)\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} U_0 \times C = C \cdot 2m \begin{pmatrix} U_0^{(1)} \\ U_0^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  take  $U_0$  to be  $U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $s = \pm$  and

$$\xi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\underline{U(\vec{p}, s) = C \cdot (\not{p} + m) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \xi_s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}$$

\* "Negative energy" solution  $\psi(\vec{p}) e^{+ip \cdot x}$ , where

now  $p^0 = +E_{\vec{p}}$ . This is solution of

$$(\not{p} + m)\psi(\vec{p}) = 0$$

and again we can write

$$\psi(\vec{p}) = C' (\not{p} - m) \psi_0, \quad C' \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vec{p} = 0 \Rightarrow \psi(\vec{0}) = -C' 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_0^{(3)} \\ \chi_0^{(4)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{choose } \psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_{-s} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\underline{\psi(\vec{p}, s) = C' (\not{p} - m) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_{-s} \end{pmatrix}}$$