

1. Consider a three dimensional ket space. If a certain set of orthonormal kets, say $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ are used as the base kets, then the operators A and B are represented by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ib \\ 0 & ib & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in which both a and b are real.

- Obviously A exhibits a degenerate spectrum. Does B have a degenerate spectrum as well?
- Show that A and B commute.
- Find a new (orthonormal) set of base kets which are simultaneous eigenkets of both A and B . Specify the eigenvalues of A and B for each of the three eigenkets. Does your specification of eigenvalues completely characterize each eigenket?

Solution:

- From the matrix representation of B we can see that the ket $|1\rangle$ is an eigenvector of operator B with eigenvalue b , *i.e.*

$$B|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ib \\ 0 & ib & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = b \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = b|1\rangle.$$

As the matrix of operator B is Hermitian so its eigenvalues must be real. It only remains to diagonalize the minor M_{11} of matrix B .

$$\det(M_{11} - \lambda I) = 0,$$

therefore

$$\lambda^2 + b^2 = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \lambda = \pm b.$$

We have found that the eigenvalues of B are $\{-b, b, b\}$, concluding that operator B has a degenerate spectrum.

- Let us calculate the products AB and BA independently.

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ib \\ 0 & ib & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & iab \\ 0 & -iab & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$BA = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ib \\ 0 & ib & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & iab \\ 0 & -iab & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are now in conditions of writing the commutator:

$$[A, B] = AB - BA = 0.$$

Therefore A and B must share a simultaneous set of eigenvectors.

- c) We already have the first of the eigenvector in that particular set, *i.e.* $\text{ket } |1\rangle$.
Let us find now the remaining eigenvectors of operator B in the subspace M_{11} .

- Eigenvector associated to eigenvalue b . Let us rename it as $|2'\rangle$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ib \\ ib & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} bc_2 \\ bc_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} -ibc_3 = bc_2 \\ ibc_2 = bc_3 \end{cases} \rightarrow c_3 = ic_2.$$

If we want our eigenvectors normalized, then $c_2^2 = c_3^2 = 1/2$.

- Eigenvector associated to eigenvalue $-b$. Let us rename it as $|3'\rangle$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ib \\ ib & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -bc_2 \\ -bc_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} ibc_3 = bc_2 \\ ibc_2 = -bc_3 \end{cases} \rightarrow c_3 = -ic_2.$$

If we want our eigenvectors normalized, then $c_2^2 = c_3^2 = 1/2$.

We have to check that these new eigenvectors are shared with operator A .

$$\begin{aligned} A|2'\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -a \\ -ia \end{pmatrix} = -a|2'\rangle \\ A|3'\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -a \\ ia \end{pmatrix} = -a|3'\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The primed notation ($|1'\rangle$) is enough, but another and sure more informatic naming convection is to characterize the eigenvectors with their eigenvalues respect operator A and B , respectively

$$|a, b\rangle = |1\rangle \quad |-a, b\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|2\rangle + i|3\rangle) \quad |-a, -b\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|2\rangle - i|3\rangle)$$

2. Evaluate the uncertainty relation of x and p operators for a particle confined in an infinite potential well (between two unpenetrable walls.) Some help: In this case the potential can be written: $V(x) = 0$, when $0 < x < a$ and otherwise $V = \infty$. From quantum mechanics we remember that the wave function in such a potential reads $\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{2/a} \sin(n\pi x/a)$, in which number n refers to the n th excitation while $n = 1$ is the ground state.

Solution: The uncertainty relation for x and p is given by the product of the standard deviations Δx and Δp , *i.e.*, $\Delta x \Delta p$. The standard deviation for a generic observable q in the system state ψ is given by

$$\Delta_\psi q = \sqrt{\langle q^2 \rangle_\psi - \langle q \rangle_\psi^2}.$$

In our case, we know that for a potential well in which $V = 0$ in the range $0 < x < a$, the eigenfunctions for this particular problem can be written as

$$\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right).$$

Knowing this, we are ready to calculate the expectation values of observables x , x^2 , p and p^2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle &= \int \psi_n^*(x) x \psi_n(x) dx = \int_0^a \frac{2}{a} x \sin^2(n\pi x/a) dx \\ &= \frac{2}{a} \frac{a^2}{4} = \frac{a}{2}, \\ \langle x^2 \rangle &= \int \psi_n^*(x) x^2 \psi_n(x) dx = \int_0^a \frac{2}{a} x^2 \sin^2(n\pi x/a) dx \\ &= \frac{2}{a} \frac{a^3}{6} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2\pi^2 n^2}\right) = \frac{a^2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2\pi^2 n^2}\right), \\ \langle p \rangle &= \int \psi_n^*(x) (-i\hbar) \frac{d}{dx} \psi_n(x) dx \\ &= -i\hbar \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \sin(n\pi x/a) n\pi/a \cos(n\pi x/a) dx = 0, \\ \langle p^2 \rangle &= \int \psi_n^*(x) (-\hbar^2) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi_n(x) dx = \hbar^2 \frac{2}{a} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} \int_0^a \sin^2(n\pi x/a) dx \\ &= \hbar^2 \frac{2}{a} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} \frac{a}{2} = \hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2 / a^2 \end{aligned}$$

In the evaluation one needs partial integration and double angle formula: $\sin^2 x = 1/2(1 - \cos 2x)$. Substituting the above values into the definition for the uncertainty relation, we obtain that

$$\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 n^2 - 6}{3}} > \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3^2 n^2 - 6}{3}} > \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{9 \cdot 1^2 - 6}{3}} = \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$

3. Show that

$$\langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \langle p' | \alpha \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \beta | x | \alpha \rangle = \int dp' \phi_\beta^*(p') i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \phi_\alpha(p').$$

Solution: Here we need the representation of eigenstate $|x'\rangle$ in the momentum space

$$\langle p' | x' \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \exp\left(i\frac{p'x'}{\hbar}\right),$$

also we need the hermicity of x ($\langle x' | x | \alpha \rangle = x' \langle x' | \alpha \rangle$) and the familiar differentiation rule $\partial(\exp(ax))\partial x = a \exp(ax)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle &= \left\langle p' \left| \underbrace{\int dx' |x'\rangle \langle x' | x}_{I} \right| \alpha \right\rangle = \int dx' x' \langle p' | x' \rangle \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dx' x' \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \exp\left(-i\frac{p'x'}{\hbar}\right) \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dx' i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \exp\left(-i\frac{p'x'}{\hbar}\right) \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \left\langle p' \left| \int dx' |x'\rangle \langle x' | \right| \alpha \right\rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \langle p' | \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The second result is a corollary of the first one:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | x | \alpha \rangle &= \left\langle \beta \left| \underbrace{\int dp' |p'\rangle \langle p' | x}_{I} \right| \alpha \right\rangle = \int dp' \langle \beta | p' \rangle \langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dp' \phi_\beta^*(p') i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \langle p' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dp' \phi_\beta^*(p') i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \phi_\alpha(p'). \end{aligned}$$

4. Consider spin precession of electron in static uniform magnetic field in the z direction and calculate the expectation values of spin at time t in y and z directions when the initial state of the system at $t = 0$ is

$$|S_x; \uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|S_z; \uparrow\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|S_z; \downarrow\rangle.$$

Solution:

We consider magnetic field to be $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{z}$, so that the Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = -\mu\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S} = -\left(\frac{eB}{m_e c}\right)S_z = \omega_c S_z.$$

The time evolution operator for this system is

$$\mathcal{U}(t, 0) = \exp(-iS_z\omega_c t/\hbar),$$

where $\omega_c = |e|B/m_e c$. The expectation value for S_z is then calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_z \rangle(t) &= \langle S_x; \uparrow | \mathcal{U}^\dagger(t, 0) S_z \mathcal{U}(t, 0) | S_x; \uparrow \rangle \\ &= [e^{i\omega_c t/2} \langle S_z; \uparrow | + e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \langle S_z; \downarrow |] \frac{S_z}{2} [e^{-i\omega_c t/2} |S_z; \uparrow\rangle + e^{i\omega_c t/2} |S_z; \downarrow\rangle] \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Calculating the expectation value of S_y is equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_y \rangle(t) &= \langle S_x; \uparrow | \mathcal{U}^\dagger(t, 0) S_y \mathcal{U}(t, 0) | S_x; \uparrow \rangle \\ &= [e^{i\omega_c t/2} \langle S_z; \uparrow | + e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \langle S_z; \downarrow |] \frac{S_y}{2} [e^{-i\omega_c t/2} |S_z; \uparrow\rangle + e^{i\omega_c t/2} |S_z; \downarrow\rangle] \\ &= [e^{i\omega_c t/2} \langle S_z; \uparrow | + e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \langle S_z; \downarrow |] i\frac{\hbar}{4} [e^{-i\omega_c t/2} |S_z; \downarrow\rangle - e^{i\omega_c t/2} |S_z; \uparrow\rangle] \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin(\omega_c t).\end{aligned}$$

It is trivial to see that spin precesses in the xy -plane with a frequency ω_c and with no projection into the z axis.

Another and more straightforward way to calculate the expectation values is to apply the matrix representations of S_i derived in previous exercises and represent also the time dependent state in the eigenbasis of S_z .

$$|S_x; \uparrow; t\rangle = \mathcal{U}(t, 0) |S_x; \uparrow; t=0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle S_x \rangle(t) = \langle S_x; \uparrow; t | S_x | S_x; \uparrow; t \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_y \rangle(t) &= \langle S_x; \uparrow; t | S_y | S_x; \uparrow; t \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{i\omega_c t/2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega_c t/2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin(\omega_c t)\end{aligned}$$